#### Injectable, strong and bioadhesive catechol-chitosan hydrogels physically 1 crosslinked using sodium bicarbonate 2 Capucine Guyot<sup>a,b</sup>, Marta Cerruti<sup>c</sup> and Sophie Lerouge<sup>a,b,\*</sup> 3 4 capucine.guyot.1@etsmtl.ca 5 marta.cerruti@mcgill.ca 6 sophie.lerouge@etsmtl.ca (corresponding author), +15143968836 7 <sup>a</sup>Dept of Mechanical Engineering, École de Technologie Supérieure, 1100 rue Notre-Dame Ouest, 8 Montréal QC H3C 1K3 Canada.

9 <sup>b</sup>Centre de Recherche du CHUM, 900 Rue Saint-Denis, Montréal, QC H2X 0A9 Canada.

10 °Dept of Materials Engineering, McGill University, 3610 Rue University, Montréal QC H3A 0C5 Canada

#### 11 Abstract

12 Fast-gelling chitosan thermosensitive hydrogels have proven to be excellent matrices for targeted 13 drug-delivery and cell therapy. In this work, we demonstrate the possibility of designing injectable bioadhesive hydrogels with a high gelation rate by modifying chitosan with catechol (cat-CH) and 14 using sodium bicarbonate (SHC) as a gelling agent. Cat-CH/SHC hydrogels gel under five minutes 15 16 at 37 °C and reach a high secant modulus after 24h (E=90 kPa at 50% strain). Besides, they show 17 significantly higher adhesion to tissues than chitosan hydrogels thanks to the combination of 18 catechol grafting and physical crosslinking. Their pH and osmolality stayed inside the physiological 19 range. While biocompability tests will be mandatory to conclude regarding their potential for drug 20 of cell encapsulation, these hydrogels uniquely combine physiological compatibility, injectability, fast gelation, good cohesion, and bioadhesion. 21

# 22 Keywords

23 Biomaterials; hydrogels; chitosan; biomimetism; catechol; bioadhesion;

#### 24 **1. Introduction**

25 Systemic delivery of active compounds such as drugs or cells suffers from several drawbacks, such 26 as a limited therapeutic efficacy and possible adverse effects due to the dispersion in non-targeted 27 tissues. There is a need for a local and sustained delivery directly at the target site by trapping the 28 bioactive compounds into matrices and leaching them over time. Ideally, those matrices should be 29 injectable, an asset that strongly simplifies surgical procedures and reduces post-operative 30 complications [1]. Hydrogels are particularly interesting since those polymeric 3D structures can 31 retain large amounts of water and mimic the viscoelastic properties of the extracellular matrix. They 32 are already widely used as drug or cell carriers [2] for various biomedical applications. Nonetheless, 33 they generally lack bioadhesion, i.e., the ability to bind to target tissues through either chemical 34 (covalent) or strong physical (supramolecular) interactions [3]. Bioadhesion prevents unwanted 35 detachment and favours drug or cell paracrine factors transfer to the target tissues [3,4]. Good 36 bioadhesion requires the synergy between a strong hydrogel/tissue interface and a good cohesion 37 of the hydrogel itself to prevent mechanical failure [5].

Chitosan (CH) is an excellent biopolymer for many biomedical applications thanks to its biocompatibility and biodegradability [6]. CH is soluble in its cationic form (pH <6.3), which makes it moderately bioadhesive thanks to electrostatic interactions [7]. When combined with a weak base in the right proportions, CH forms strong and cell-compatible hydrogels thanks to their neutral pH and mild crosslinking conditions, without any toxic chemical crosslinker. Moreover, these hydrogels are thermosensitive; they are liquid at room temperature, which makes it easy to mix them with cells and to inject them through small needles and catheter, and they autonomouslygel at body temperature.

46 The first CH-based thermosensitive hydrogel used glycerophosphate (GP) [8]. CH/GP hydrogels 47 gel at 37 °C, usually reaching a soft consistency in less than five minutes [9]. However, their high 48 osmolality (a consequence of the high GP concentration) causes osmotic stress, resulting in a high 49 death rate of encapsulated cells [10,11]. GP can be substituted by another alkaline compound which 50 pKa is the same as the pKa of chitosan at room temperature [12]. A good candidate is sodium 51 bicarbonate (sodium hydrogen carbonate, herein abbreviated SHC) [13], because its pKa is 6.33 52 regardless of temperature. The gelation mechanism of CH/SHC hydrogels resembles the gelation 53 mechanism of CH/GP hydrogels described by Lavertu et al. [12]. At room temperature, SHC 54 screens the  $NH_3^+$  of chitosan. When the temperature increases, the pKa of chitosan decreases; SHC 55 reacts with  $NH_3^+$  and is released as  $CO_2$  (as described in Eq.(1)). The stability at room temperature 56 avoids electrostatic repulsion between the CH chains, bringing them close to one another so that 57 they irrevocably set into a 3D network when SHC escapes. CH/SHC hydrogels show significantly 58 lower osmolality and higher secant modulus than CH/GP hydrogels [14]. Yet, since the amino 59 groups of CH are neutralized during gelation, CH is no longer cationic and these hydrogels show 60 no significant adhesion to tissues.

$$chitosanNH_3^+ + HCO_3^- \rightarrow chitosanNH_2 + H_2O + CO_2 \land$$
(1)

To improve the mild bioadhesive properties of CH, several research groups looked into the amino 61 62 acid 3.4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA), which is especially prevalent in the marine mussel's 63 feet [15]. DOPA contains catechol (cat), a diphenol that is responsible for most of the mussel's 64 incredible adhesion underwater [16]. Since this discovery, researchers have grafted catechol-65 bearing molecules to different polymers [17–19], including CH [20], significantly improving their 66 bioadhesiveness [21,22]. Cat is known to be adhesive to both inorganic and organic substrates. 67 Adhesion to inorganic surfaces is attributed to physical bonding through electronic, hydrophobic 68 and  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions [23]. In a pro-oxidative environment and at pH7 and above, cat groups oxidize 69 into quinones [24] which subsequently react with amino groups [25] to form covalent bonds 70 (Figure 1). Hence, oxidized cat strongly adheres to aminated organic surfaces [5,26,27]. However, 71 catechol-chitosan (cat-CH) also bears amino groups. This can result in covalent self-crosslinking, 72 referred to as oxidative crosslinking in this paper, a slow process that leads to soft hydrogels [28]. Moreover, since a fraction of the cat groups is wasted to maintain the network cohesion, so-formed 73 hydrogels are less bioadhesive. 74



75



In this work, we explored the combination of cat-CH and SHC in the design of physical bioadhesive
 hydrogels that are thermosensitive, injectable, and can reach a high level of mechanical cohesion.

Given that the pH of CH/SHC hydrogels is above 7 [29], catechol groups in cat-CH/SHC hydrogels should (at least partially) oxidize. Our main working hypothesis is that by using SHC, cat-CH will physically crosslink quickly enough to limit oxidative crosslinking to a great extent, leading to an improvement in both mechanical properties and bioadhesive properties. We showed how, by tuning the SHC concentration, we can optimize the stiffness, gelation temperature (below 37°C to allow for injection), gelation rate and pH of hydrogels.

We also tried as a working side hypothesis to prevent oxidation altogether by dissolving cat-CH in acidic conditions instead of water. This can avoid catechol-to-quinone oxidation during hydrogel preparation. Moreover, decreasing the pH could also increase the ionization level of CH, i.e., the ratio of  $NH_3^+$  versus  $NH_2$ . With more charge screening we expect a neater organization of the chains with one another followed by a slower and more homogeneous deionization of CH with increasing temperature, translating to an increase in mechanical properties after gelation.

## 91 **2. Experimental**

# 92 **2.1. Cat-CH preparation and characterization**

## 93 **2.1.1.** Reagents

Chitosan (CH, ChitoClear®; M<sub>w</sub> = 150–250 kDa [mean], DDA = 80% measured by NMR using a
previously established protocol [30]) was obtained from Primex (Island). Hydrocaffeic acid (HCA)
and 1-Ethyl-3- (3-dimethylaminopropylcarbodiimide (EDC) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich
(USA). Dialysis membrane (Spectra/Por®7, MWCO = 3.5 kDa) was from Spectrum Laboratories
Inc. (USA). Sodium hydrogen carbonate (SHC) was from E.M.D. Millipore (Germany).

## 99 2.1.2. Cat-CH Synthesis

100 HCA, a molecule that bears a catechol group, was grafted onto CH by a carbodiimide coupling reaction (see Figure 2). CH was dissolved at 0.1% w/v in 50 mM HCl (pH=2.5) to ensure its 101 102 complete ionization. HCA was dissolved in ethanol (EtOH) at 0.025% w/v. EDC was dissolved in 103 water at different concentrations to modulate reagents molar ratio (between 1:1:1 and 1:1:2 CH:HCA:EDC, see Figure A. 1. Both were added to the CH solution under controlled mixing 104 105 speed, either 300 rpm or 750 rpm. To avoid catechol oxidation, we equilibrated the pH at 4.8 with 106 0.5 M NaOH before leaving the reaction overnight. Cat-CH was then purified by dialysis against pH2 10 mM NaCl for two days followed by deionized water (DW) for six hours. Finally, cat-CH 107 was freeze-dried and stored at room temperature. 108





Figure 2: Carbodiimide coupling reaction between CH and HCA

#### 111 **2.1.3.** Chemical characterization

112 NMR<sup>1</sup>H (Varian VNMRS 500 MHz, Montréal) was performed on CH and cat-CH 0.1% w/v 113 solutions in DCl. A signal between 6.5 ppm and 6.7 ppm confirmed the success of the cat grafting [31]. UV-visible spectrometry (Cary5000 Agilent, Montréal) was then used to quantify catechol 114 115 grafting. We first established a calibration curve at 280 nm for HCA using standard solutions 116 (0.1 mM to 0.5 mM)). Cat-CH was then dissolved in water at 0.05% (w/v). We measured its 117 absorbance and related it to catechol concentration in the sample thanks to the calibration curve. 118 The relationship between catechol concentration and catechol grafting degree ( $\gamma$ , expressed in % of 119 the total molar number of monomers, see Eq.A-1). For the rest of the paper, cat-CH will be referred 120 to as cat $\chi$ -CH, where  $\chi$  corresponds to the catechol grafting degree of each sample.

#### 121 **2.1.4.** *pKa assessment*

122 Cat-CH was dissolved in water or 50 mM HCl at 0.1% (w/v) and titrated with 0.1M, 0.5M or 2M 123 NaOH depending on the required precision. The pH was monitored with a Denver Instrument pH 124 meter. pKa was assessed at half-equivalence with Eq.(2) ( $n_{eq}$  being the amount of NaOH at 125 equivalence).

$$pK_A = pH(\frac{n_{eq}}{2}) \tag{2}$$

#### 126 **2.2. Synthesis and characterization of hydrogels**

#### 127 **2.2.1.** Preparation of hydrogels

128 Cat-CH (3.33% w/v) was dissolved in either deionized water (H<sub>2</sub>O) or acid excess (50 mM HCl). 129 Cat-CH hydrogels were prepared by mixing cat-CH with SHC solutions at a 3:2 volumetric ratio. 130 Cat-CH concentration in the gel was therefore 2% (w/v), HCl concentration was 30 mM, and SHC 131 concentration ranged from 50 mM to 130 mM (abbreviated SHCn, n being the SHC concentration 132 in mM). Unmodified CH hydrogels (2% w/v) were made by mixing 3.33% (w/v) CH dissolved in 133 90 mM HCl with 175 mM SHC. Occurrence of oxidation for cat-CH solutions and cat-CH 134 hydrogels was noticeable by a change in colour from white to orange.

#### 135 2.2.2. Mechanical Tests

136 The gelation kinetics of all hydrogels were tested on a Physica MCR301 rheometer (Anton Paar) 137 directly after mixing using a concentric cylinder geometry (CC10). Their gelation temperature was 138 assessed by performing a temperature ramp, while gelation time was assessed by a time sweep at a 139 chosen temperature. For the temperature ramps, temperature was initially set to 5 °C and increased 140 by 1°C/minute until it reached 65 °C. Temperature ramps were performed to confirm that gelation 141 is triggered at a temperature between 22°C and 37°C. For the time sweep assays, temperature was 142 first set to 22°C, and suddenly increased after 1 minute to either 37°C or 50°C for one hour. Time 143 sweeps at 37°C mimicked in-vivo injection. Time sweeps at 50°C were less relevant for biomedical 144 applications but were performed to get a better understanding of the differences between the 145 formulations. All experiments were performed in the linear viscoelastic region at 5% strain and 1 Hz frequency. In addition, to study the rheological behaviour at 22°C and demonstrate 146 147 injectability, dynamic viscosity as a function of shear rate (0.1 s-1 to 200 s-1) was acquired on a 148 plate/plate geometry. Some formulations were submitted to extrusion through a 25G needle.

Compression tests were performed on an Electroforce 3,200 (TA Instruments). Pre-gel solutions were poured into 7 mm cylindrical moulds and allowed to gel at 37°C for 24 hours to ensure that all gels had enough time to reach their final mechanical properties. The displacement rate was calculated based on the sample height to achieve 100% deformation/minute. Compression was applied until 50% deformation and stress was calculated based on the recorded resulting force and the sample section. Since the hydrogel presents a non-linear elastic behaviour, the stiffness (Young's modulus E) is strain dependent. Therefore, the stiffness was characterized by the secant moduli, i.e the slope of a line connecting the point of zero strain ( $\varepsilon_0$ ) to a point at a specified deformation ( $\varepsilon$ ) as described by Eq.(3).

$$E_{\varepsilon} = \frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon} - \sigma_{\varepsilon_0}}{\varepsilon} \tag{3}$$

158 2.2.3. pH and osmolality

We measured the pH of cat-CH/SHC hydrogels right after mixing and after 24 hours of gelation
using a Laquatwin pH-22 electrode (HORIBA, Japan). Osmolality was measured on hydrogel
filtrates using an Advanced Micro Osmometer 3,300 (Advanced Instruments) as described in [10].
Measures were performed in triplicate.

#### 163 2.2.4. Adhesive Tests

164 Adhesion on inorganic substrates (SiO2) was evaluated quantitatively on an Electroforce 3,200 165 instrument (Bose Corporation, USA). A thin layer of cat-CH or cat-CH/SHC solution was spread 166 at room temperature between two glass slides, leaving a few centimetres free on top for clamping. All samples were incubated at 37 °C for 24h to ensure that the gels had reached their final 167 168 mechanical properties. A moist environment was recreated in the sample holders to simulate 169 adhesion occurring in wet conditions. Slides were then mounted on clamps, one static and one 170 pulling upwards to generate shear stress. We recorded the maximum detachment and noticed whether the glass/gel interface or the core of the hydrogel broke first. The maximum detachment 171 172 shear was then calculated by dividing the maximal detachment force by the sample surface.

173 Bioadhesion was evaluated qualitatively by a wash-off test modified from [32]. Porcine intestinal 174 tissue was harvested from pigs sacrificed in the framework of other studies and kept at +4 °C in 175 PBS 10% v/v for a maximum of 5 hours before use. Animal surgical procedures were approved by 176 the institutional animal care committee at the CRCHUM and the Canadian Council on Animal 177 Care. The tissue was washed right before the experiment, cut open and sliced into rectangles 178  $(25 \text{ mm} \times 56 \text{ mm})$ , before being glued to glass slides with cyanoacrylate (Vetbond, 3M Animal 179 Care Products, Japan). The first five centimetres of the slide were kept free to allow for sample clamping. Cat3-CH/SHC or CH/SHC solutions were poured into cylindrical moulds directly on the 180 181 tissue. We incubated at 37 °C in a moist environment and the moulds were removed after 24h. The 182 slides were clipped onto a rotating arm and immersed into a 37 °C PBS bath. The rotating speed 183 was controlled by a potentiometer and increased by 25 rpm every 5 minutes. We recorded the 184 number of detached hydrogels samples every five minutes.

#### 185 2.2.5. Statistical Analysis

Statistical analysis was executed on Graphpad Prism. For single parameter analysis, we performed
t-tests and expressed significance through resulting p-values. p <0.05 was considered a low</li>
significance (\*), p <0.005 was considered a high significance (\*\*\*). For two-parameter analysis,</li>
we performed two-way ANOVA followed by a Tukey post-test.

#### 190 **3. Results and discussion**

# **3.1. Cat-CH polymer**

#### 192 **3.1.1.** Cat-CH grafting

We first confirmed the grafting of cat on chitosan based on the NMR spectra (Figure A. 2). Unlike CH solutions, a distinctive signal was noticeable in the 6.5-6.7 ppm range corresponding to the aromatic protons of the catechols. UV-visible spectrometry was then used to quantify the amount 196 of grafted catechol. Cat was grafted to CH using four ratios of HCA/EDC and two mixing speeds. 197 As seen on Figure A. 1, both parameters influenced  $\chi$  (cat grafting degree), which ranged from 4% 198 (slow mixing, 1/1 ratio) to 23% (rapid mixing, 1/2 ratio). Introducing an excess of EDC and using 199 a high-mixing speed were synergistic to increase the probability of having interactions between 200  $NH_{3}^{+}$  and HCA. Our cat grafting degrees were similar to those of previous authors, who reported 201 values ranging between 3.3% and 20.5% [21,22,28,31,33–36]. Nonetheless, as we noticed from the 202 standard deviation, the higher the yield and the more variability between the samples. As a result, 203 in the paper we report  $\chi$  for each specific batch of cat-CH.

## 204 3.1.2. pKa of cat-CH

As explained in the introduction, the pKas of chitosan and SHC must be the same to achieve physical gelation. To ensure that cat grafting did not significantly affect the pKa of chitosan, the pKas of cat3-CH and cat23-CH were assessed by titration. Cat3-CH and cat23-CH were dissolved in either water or HCl (further respectively abbreviated cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O and cat-CH HCl). CH (control) was only soluble in HCl. All the solutions were titrated against NaOH.

The titration curves of cat-CH HCl (Figure 3A) and CH (Figure 3B) showed two successive inflection points. The first inflection point was related to the presence of a strong acid, i.e.,  $H_3O^+/H_2O$  from HCl (Eq.(4)). The second one was related to a weak acid, i.e.,  $NH_3^+/NH_2$  groups in CH (Eq.(5)). Cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O showed only one inflection point related to Eq.(5) (see Figure A. 3).

(Eq.(5)). Cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O showed only one inflection point related to Eq.(5) (see Figure A. 5).



214

Figure 3: Titration of cat3-CH (circles), cat23-CH (triangles) (A) and CH (B) in 50 mM HCl with NaOH.
 Curves have been cropped to emphasize on inflection points.

$$H_30^+ + H0^- \rightleftarrows 2H_20 \tag{4}$$

$$chitosanNH_3^+ + H_3O^+ \rightleftharpoons chitosanNH_2 + H_2O$$
(5)

To reach equivalence of Eq.(5), we introduced nNaOH=0.35mmol for cat3-CH HCl and nNaOH=0.31mmol for cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O (see Table A. 1). This confirmed that adding HCl increased the ratio of NH<sub>3</sub><sup>+</sup> versus NH<sub>2</sub> in cat-CH, as known as ionization degree. A lower amount of NaOH was needed for cat23-CH (nNaOH=0.29mmol in both systems). Indeed, the lower proportion of amino groups in highly grafted cat-CH required fewer H+ to ionize it fully.

Table 1: pKa calculated through Eq.(2) for cat3-CH, cat23-CH and CH titrated in HCl. Mean of n=2

Polymer	Cat3-CH	Cat23-CH	CH
рКа	6.28	6.26	6.44

We considered  $NH_3^+$  to be strongly predominant over  $NH_2$  after the first equivalence. We calculated the pKas at the second inflection point with Eq.(2). The pKa of 6.44 for chitosan matched previously obtained results [37] (Table 1). The pKa of cat-CH was 6.27  $\pm$  0.01 and was not influenced by  $\chi$ . This validated that we can physically crosslink cat-CH with SHC to create thermosensitive hydrogels.

#### 229 **3.1.3.** Stability of cat-CH Solutions

Cat-CHs of all grafting degrees were dissolved at 3.33% (w/v) to be used in further experiments. All cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O solutions left in contact with air turned orange after 24h, the more grafted the darker, and they had formed soft gels due to oxidative crosslinking. In contrast, HCl successfully

233 protected cat groups as cat-CH HCl solutions remained unoxidized (see Figure 4).



234

Figure 4: cat-CH solutions in upside down bottles after several months of preservation at 4° C. On the left, cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O is oxidized and has formed a gel, while on the right, cat-CH HCl is still clear and flows

#### 237 **3.2. Cat-CH hydrogels**

Cat-CH mixed with SHC was able to form hydrogels at 37 °C. All hydrogels were orange after 24h, indicating oxidation (see some examples on Figure 5A). Addition of HCl did not prevent oxidation during gelation, because H3O+ from HCl and HCO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> from SHC instantaneously reacted together (Eq.(6)). As a result, hydrogels with HCl were as oxidized as hydrogels without HCl. Moreover, starting from SHC80 for cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O and SHC110 for cat-CH HCl, hydrogels had turned opaque within 24h and had released a lot of water.

244

$$H_3 O^+ + H C O_3^- \rightarrow 2 H_2 O + C O_2 \nearrow$$
(6)

#### 245 3.2.1. Mechanical properties of hydrogels

246 Unconfined compression tests performed on fully gelled samples (after 24 hours at 37 °C) showed the impact of the composition on the mechanical properties (Figure 5B). SHC concentration had a 247 strong impact on the mechanical behaviour of cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC hydrogels. Rigidity increased 248 with SHC concentration, following a bell-shaped curve that reached a maximum at 70 mM SHC 249 250 (SHC70) (Figure 5B). Further, the hydrogels happened to be more fragile with a lowered toughness; while SHC70 hydrogels withstood compression up to 50% strain, SHC80 hydrogels 251 252 ruptured below 40% strain (Figure A. 4). The optimum SHC concentration (SHC70) correlates to 253 a stoichiometric ratio between  $HCO_3^-$  and the concentration of  $NH_3^+$  in cat-CH. Under that value, there was not enough SHC to interact with  $NH_3^+$  hence gelation was incomplete. Above, the excess 254 255 SHC that did not escape as  $CO_2$  remained entrapped in the network, impeding the interactions 256 between the CH chains and lowering the mechanical properties.

The same trend was observed for cat3-CH HCl/SHC hydrogels, i.e., a bell curve, with a maximum at SHC100. The 30 mM SHC offset between the two conditions (Figure 5B) amounts to the SHC that reacted with HCl before gelation, hence a higher SHC concentration was needed for the system to be in stoichiometric proportions. Increasing SHC further also led to opaque and brittle hydrogels. Surprisingly, the rigidity of the best cat3-CH HCl hydrogels was significantly lower than the rigidity of the best cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O hydrogels despite their initially higher ionization degree. One possible explanation of this unexpected result is the immediate  $CO_2$  release that we witness while making the cat-CH HCl/SHC pre-gel solutions. The reaction taking place between HCl and SHC creates bubbles that could have weakened the network by staying trapped between the chains.



266

Figure 5: A) Cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O hydrogels with SHC50, SHC70 and SHC100. B) Secant modulus of cat-CH
 H<sub>2</sub>O and cat-CH HCl hydrogels (χ=3% or 6%) as a function of SHC concentration (secant modulus at 30% strain, mean and SD of n=9).

Nonetheless, both cat-CH HCl SHC100 and cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O SHC70 showed good mechanical properties with E50%=65kPa and 90 kPa respectively (Figure A. 5). This is lower than for unmodified CH-SHC hydrogels (E50%=140 kPa, data not shown) but much higher than for oxidatively crosslinked cat-CH hydrogels (E <10 kPa [28]). The benefits of physical gelation were clear: mechanical properties of cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O SHC70 hydrogels met and even surpassed other previously reported systems such as DOPA-CH/Fe3+ hydrogels which break below 40% strain [33].

277 Doubling  $\gamma$  from 3% to 6% drastically decreased the secant modulus, more severely for cat6-CH 278 H<sub>2</sub>O hydrogels (around 4.5-fold decrease) than for cat6-CH HCl hydrogels (around two-fold 279 decrease) (Figure 5B). As so, there was no more difference between the best formulations (E=20 280 kPa) which both underwent brittle fracture below 40% deformation (Figure A. 5). This implies that 281 the grafted cat moieties disrupted the network. CH physical crosslinking happens owing to the close 282 proximity of the chains, which results from the charge screening of SHC at room temperature; 283 larger substituents can therefore impede interactions and prevent reaching an optimal spatial 284 organization. Because the molar density of HCA is very close to the molar density of glucosamine 285 (Table A. 2) grafting this large molecule created large branches on the main chain and likely led to 286 irregularities in the hydrogel network. Based on these results, we chose not to make cat-CH 287 hydrogels with a grafting degree higher than 6%.

#### 288 **3.2.2.** Thermosensitivity of cat-CH Hydrogels

289 Temperature ramps were first performed to confirm the thermosensitivity of the hydrogels, then to 290 select the formulations whose behaviour was suitable for mixing cells and injecting them at room 291 temperature prior to in-situ gelation at body temperature. At low temperatures, the storage modulus (G') of all cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC hydrogels was low and relatively stable. With increasing 292 293 temperature, a sharp increase of G' confirmed the thermosensitivity of all formulations (Figure 6A). 294 This increase happened at lower temperatures and was sharper for higher SHC concentrations. The 295 gelation start temperature (GST) was defined at the crossover of G' and G"[38]. All cat3-CH/SHC 296 hydrogels had a GST below 30 °C (Figure 6B) suggesting that all formulations were suited for 297 injection. However, the formulations with the highest SHC had a GST below 22 °C and showed 298 some precipitation at room temperature.

299 Similarly, gelation time was defined at the crossover of G' and G" during time sweeps. Gelation at 300 37 °C was below 5 minutes for the hydrogels that showed the best mechanical properties (Figure 301 A. 6A). This is much faster than for other injectable cat-CH systems previously designed [35,39]. However, formulations with less SHC had such a slow increase of G' that it was difficult to 302 303 differentiate them from one another, hence difficult to highlight the influence of SHC concentration 304 on their gelation kinetics. Therefore, we conducted further time sweeps at 50 °C, which led to a 305 much stronger response with higher G' (Figure A. 6B) and clear differences between the 306 formulations. G' increased faster for cat3-CH HCl/SHC100 than for cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70. Both 307 were much slower to get than CH/SHC hydrogels, and doubling  $\chi$  from 3% to 6% significantly 308 tampered the increase of G' (Figure 6C). This can be due either to a slowed gelation or an overall 309 decrease in cohesion, both being direct consequences of the steric hindrance enforced by grafted 310 HCA.

311 The rheological behaviour of two specific formulations (cat-CH H<sub>2</sub>O SHC70 and cat-CH HCl

312 SHC100) was studied more extensively by monitoring their viscosity at 22°C with increasing shear

rate, and extruding them through needles. As seen on Figure 6D, their dynamic viscosity at room

temperature remained below 3 Pa.s regardless of the shear rate, and they demonstrated a shear-

thinning behaviour above  $1 \text{ s}^{-1}$ . Moreover, we were able to easily extrude them out of a 25G needle

(0,455 mm inner diameter). Both of those results corroborated our prediction that these hydrogelswere injectable.



Figure 6: A) Temperature ramps of cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC hydrogels as a function of SHC concentration.
CH/SHC hydrogel is shown for comparison (mean of n=3, SD not shown). B) Gelation start temperature
(G'=G'') for cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC hydrogels and cat3-CH HCl/SHC hydrogels. The dashed line marks 22 °C.
C) Time sweeps on cat3-CH/SHC hydrogels and cat6-CH/SHC hydrogels. The temperature was set at
22 °C for 1 minute then increased to 50 °C. Reaching 50 °C took approximately 3 minutes, as shown by the
black arrow. CH/SHC hydrogel is shown for comparison (mean of n=3, SD not shown). D) Dynamic
viscosity of cat3-CH/SHC hydrogels right after mixing.

# 326

#### 3.2.3. pH and osmolality of cat-CH hydrogels

We monitored the pH and osmolality of the hydrogels as a first biocompatibility assessment. As expected, cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O and cat3-CH HCl pH plots followed the same trend with an offset of 30 mM SHC. The pH of the pre-gel solution, just after mixing cat-CH and SHC, was around 6.3 to 6.5, with slightly higher values for the highest SHC concentrations (Figure 7). This, in addition to the low viscosity demonstrated earlier, confirmed that pH sensitive cells or drugs could be mixed to the gel before injection.

The pH changed during gelation at 37 °C; after 24h, the pH of all hydrogels had significantly increased, reaching a pH of 7 to 9 depending on the formulation. While cells can survive in an alkaline environment up to pH9 [40], cell survival tests are mandatory to determine which formulations would be compatible with cell encapsulation.

Osmolality of cat-CH/SHC hydrogel filtrates was in the same range as CH/SHC hydrogels, with about 193  $\pm$ 11 mOsm/mL [n=6] for cat3-CH/SHC90 compared to 176 [1 for CH/SHC90 (n=3). These values enabled to reach physiological values (around 300 mOsm/mL) when adding cell culture media in the hydrogel, as already demonstrated by our team [10].



Figure 7: pH of cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC (circles and squares) and cat3-CH HCl/SHC (upwards and downwards triangles) hydrogels as a function of SHC concentration. Measures were taken right after mixing (dotted line) and after 24h of gelation at 37 °C (solid line).

#### 345 3.2.4. Adhesion of cat-CH Hydrogels

346 Adhesion was tested both on organic and inorganic surfaces. We first evaluated inorganic adhesion 347 by measuring the force required to detach the hydrogels from silicate (Figure 8A). As seen on 348 Figure 8B, there was no difference between cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70 and CH/SHC hydrogels while 349 the detachment force was significantly lower for cat3-CH HCl SHC100 which happened to have a 350 lower secant modulus. Data regarding cat-CH without SHC is not shown since these gels were 351 mechanically too weak to yield reliable data. In fact, all hydrogels ruptured at the core, suggesting 352 that in these conditions interfacial adhesion was stronger than gel cohesion. In this experimental 353 setup, mechanical properties were a more significant discriminating factor among hydrogels than adhesive properties. This experiment could not highlight any improvement linked to cat grafting in 354 355 its oxidized state. This is consistent with previous work reporting that the adhesion of cat on silica 356 is mostly mediated by weak interactions like hydrogen bonding [41] for which cat groups need to 357 be in their non-oxidized state [5].



Figure 8: Adhesion tests on inorganic and organic substrates. A) Top: preparation of the samples for shear tests. Bottom: schematic showing the shear test with one of the glass slides being pulled away. B)
Detachment force of unmodified CH and different cat3-CH hydrogels (mean and SD of n=6, \*\*\*\* means p
<0.001). C) Schematic drawing of wash-off tests. A rotating arm creates shear forces inside a PBS bath (37 °C). Moulded hydrogels are stuck on pig tissue strips, which are glued to the glass slides with cyanoacrylate. D) Percentage of hydrogels still attached to pig mucosa as a function of time, with motor speed increasing from 25 rpm to 250 rpm, at 25 rpm/5 min.</li>

366 Organic adhesion, on the other hand, was assessed after 24h of in-situ gelation on pig intestines. 367 We counted the numbers of hydrogels that detached under stirring with time and increasing rotor 368 speed in a PBS bath at 37 °C (Figure 8C). In these conditions, cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70 and cat3-CH 369 HCl/SHC100 hydrogels showed higher adhesion than CH/SHC hydrogels (Figure 8D). After 25 370 minutes (150 rpm), all CH/SHC hydrogels had detached while all cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70 hydrogels 371 were still adherent. As already discussed, we can link this improved adhesion to the in-situ 372 formation of covalent bonds between quinones and the mucosal tissue. Compared to the toughest 373 hydrogels, weaker formulations such as H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC90 (too much SHC) or HCl/SHC90 (not enough 374 SHC) showed lower adhesion. Thus, we believe that adhesive properties and mechanical properties, 375 hence the combination of catechol grafting and physical gelation both played a role in increasing 376 bioadhesion.

Bioadhesion is always challenging to compare between studies due to both a shortage in available standardized procedures to measure it and the great variability inherent to those experimental setups. In our experiment, cat-CH/SHC hydrogels held to the animal tissue longer than CH/SHC hydrogels despite our stirring rates being much higher than for previously reported data [39]. This is very encouraging in regard to the ability of our hydrogels to resist shear forces in-vivo. Future work could explore the adhesive properties of these hydrogels in a shorter time frame closer to invivo conditions.

Mechanical properties and rapid gelation are also key parameters to ensure good retention at the target tissue. In this work, we showed that, in accordance to our hypothesis, it is possible to form cat-CH hydrogels using SHC. Their gelation time at 37°C was under five minutes while cat-CH oxidation is a much slower process [42]. Even though we could not demonstrate quantitatively our 388 hypothesis, we made the following assumption: the chains rapidly setting into a rigid conformation impeded their further mobility and therefore limited further oxidative crosslinking. This is 389 390 supported by our results regarding mechanical properties and bioadhesive properties, greatly 391 improved compared to previously reported systems. Physical gelation provides advantages 392 compared to other gelation routes that have been explored to avoid using the cat groups as 393 crosslinkers. Cat-CH gels have been synthesized in previous studies using metal/ion coordination 394 [43–45], genipin [21,45], or pluronic [35]. Yet, most of these hydrogels still have poor mechanical 395 resistance [46] and are usually not injectable [33,43]. Genipin-based gelation takes more than 1h 396 to occur [39] while a short gelation time is mandatory for an injectable gel to adhere to the tissues 397 without leaking.

398 Titration against NaOH showed that HCl did improve the ionization state of CH, although this did 399 not result in improved mechanical properties for the hydrogels. In fact, HCl and SHC reacted 400 together prior to gelation, preventing HCl to protect the cat groups against oxidation, and releasing 401  $CO_2$  bubbles that possibly disrupted the network. In the mussel, mfp-6 is thought to play a major 402 role in lowering the pH and acting as a reducing agent thanks to its thiol residues [47]. Other 403 strategies to avoid catechol-to-quinone oxidation during preparation include the co-grafting of 404 thiourea groups to mimic the role of mfp-6 [48] or the use of nitro-dopamine as a cat bearing 405 molecule to increase its resistance to auto oxidation [27].

# 406 **4. Conclusion**

407 Combining cat-CH and SHC allowed us to fabricate bioadhesive hydrogels that are injectable 408 thanks to their thermosensitivity and their shear-thinning behaviour. The cat-CH/SHC hydrogels 409 showed a quick gelation at 37°C and reached a high secant modulus with time, improving overall 410 adhesion. Adding HCl did not prevent oxidation of catechols. Nonetheless, the good gelation 411 kinetics, the high mechanical cohesion and the excellent bioadhesion hint towards a successful 412 decrease in oxidative crosslinking.

This technology has many advantages, namely a faster gelation at body temperature than previously reported systems, good cohesion and toughness, increased bioadhesion, and a pH and osmolality close to physiological values. All those design features are essential in the design of injectable adhesive vehicles with good anchorage to the target tissues for drug delivery and cell encapsulation. To the best of our knowledge, so far no CH hydrogel has shown them all at once. Further biocompatibility tests will be required to confirm the absence of toxic effect on surrounding tissues and the prospected compatibility with cell encapsulation for cell therapy applications.

#### 420 **5.** Acknowledgements

Funding: this work was supported by the Fonds de recherche du Québec Nature et technologies
(FRQNT) [Grant number: 2016-PR-191243 and Doctoral Research Scholarship B2X]; and the
NSERC [Discovery Grant]. The authors thank McGill Chemistry Characterization facility and
CRCHUM Animal facility for their help and their time.

#### 425 **6. References**

- 426[1]J. Li, D.J. Mooney, Designing hydrogels for controlled drug delivery, Nat. Rev. Mater. 1 (2016)42716071. https://doi.org/10.1038/natrevmats.2016.71.
- 428 [2] K.H. Bae, L.-S. Wang, M. Kurisawa, Injectable biodegradable hydrogels: progress and challenges,
  429 J. Mater. Chem. B. 1 (2013) 5371. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3tb20940g.
- 430[3]J.D. Smart, The basics and underlying mechanisms of mucoadhesion, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 57431(2005) 1556–1568. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2005.07.001.

- 432 [4] J. Hurler, N. Škalko-Basnet, Potentials of Chitosan-Based Delivery Systems in Wound Therapy:
  433 Bioadhesion Study, J. Funct. Biomater. 3 (2012) 37–48. https://doi.org/10.3390/jfb3010037.
- H. Lee, N.F. Scherer, P.B. Messersmith, Single-molecule mechanics of mussel adhesion, Proc. Natl.
  Acad. Sci. 103 (2006) 12999–13003. https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0605552103.
- 436 [6] F. Croisier, C. Jérôme, Chitosan-based biomaterials for tissue engineering, Eur. Polym. J. 49 (2013)
  437 780–792. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eurpolymj.2012.12.009.
- V. Grabovac, D. Guggi, A. Bernkop-Schnürch, Comparison of the mucoadhesive properties of various polymers, Adv. Drug Deliv. Rev. 57 (2005) 1713–1723.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.addr.2005.07.006.
- 441 [8] A. Chenite, C. Chaput, D. Wang, C. Combes, M. Buschmann, C.D. Hoemann, J.C. Leroux, B.L.
  442 Atkinson, F. Binette, A. Selmani, Novel injectable neutral solutions of chitosan form biodegradable gels in situ, Biomaterials. 21 (2000) 2155–2161. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0142-9612(00)00116-2.
- H.Y. Zhou, L.J. Jiang, P.P. Cao, J.B. Li, X.G. Chen, Glycerophosphate-based chitosan thermosensitive hydrogels and their biomedical applications, Carbohydr. Polym. 117 (2015) 524–536. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2014.09.094.
- [10] C. Ceccaldi, E. Assaad, E. Hui, M. Buccionyte, A. Adoungotchodo, S. Lerouge, Optimization of Injectable Thermosensitive Scaffolds with Enhanced Mechanical Properties for Cell Therapy, Macromol. Biosci. 17 (2017) 1600435. https://doi.org/10.1002/mabi.201600435.
- 450 [11] D. Kültz, Chapter 12 Osmotic regulation of DNA activity and the cell cycle, in: Cell Mol. Response to Stress, Elsevier, 2000: pp. 157–179. https://doi.org/10.1016/S1568-1254(00)80014-5.
- [12] M. Lavertu, D. Filion, M. Buschmann, Heat-induced transfer of protons from chitosan to glycerol phosphate produces chitosan precipitation and gelation, Biomacromolecules. 9 (2008) 640–650. https://doi.org/10.1021/bm700745d.
- 455 L. Liu, X. Tang, Y. Wang, S. Guo, Smart gelation of chitosan solution in the presence of NaHCO 3 [13] 456 injectable drug deliverv system, Int. J. Pharm. 414 (2011)6-15. for 457 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpharm.2011.04.052.
- 458 [14] E. Assaad, M. Maire, S. Lerouge, Injectable thermosensitive chitosan hydrogels with controlled
  459 gelation kinetics and enhanced mechanical resistance, Carbohydr. Polym. 130 (2015) 87–96.
  460 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.carbpol.2015.04.063.
- 461 [15] Q. Guo, J. Chen, J. Wang, H. Zeng, J. Yu, Recent progress in synthesis and application of mussel-462 inspired adhesives, Nanoscale. (2020). https://doi.org/10.1039/C9NR09780E.
- 463[16]B.P. Lee, P.B. Messersmith, J.N. Israelachvili, J.H. Waite, Mussel-Inspired Adhesives and Coatings,464Annu. Rev. Mater. Res. 41 (2011) 99–132. https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-matsci-062910-100429.
- 465 [17] L. Duan, Q. Yuan, H. Xiang, X. Yang, L. Liu, J. Li, Fabrication and characterization of a novel collagen-catechol hydrogel, J. Biomater. Appl. 32 (2018) 862–870.
  467 https://doi.org/10.1177/0885328217747125.
- 468 [18] C. Fan, J. Fu, W. Zhu, D.-A. Wang, A mussel-inspired double-crosslinked tissue adhesive intended
  469 for internal medical use, Acta Biomater. 33 (2016) 51–63.
  470 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.ACTBIO.2016.02.003.
- 471 [19] S. Hong, K. Yang, B. Kang, C. Lee, I.T. Song, E. Byun, K.I. Park, S.-W.W. Cho, H. Lee, Hyaluronic 472 acid catechol: A biopolymer exhibiting a pH-dependent adhesive or cohesive property for human 473 neural stem cell engineering, Adv. Funct. Mater. 23 (2013)1774 - 1780.474 https://doi.org/10.1002/adfm.201202365.
- 475 [20] K. Kim, K. Kim, J.H. Ryu, H. Lee, Chitosan-catechol: A polymer with long-lasting mucoadhesive
  476 properties, Biomaterials. 52 (2015) 161–170. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2015.02.010.

- 477 [21] J. Xu, G.M. Soliman, J. Barralet, M. Cerruti, Mollusk glue inspired mucoadhesives for biomedical applications, Langmuir. 28 (2012) 14010–14017. https://doi.org/10.1021/la3025414.
- 479 [22] G.M. Soliman, Y.L. Zhang, G. Merle, M. Cerruti, J. Barralet, Hydrocaffeic acid-chitosan nanoparticles with enhanced stability, mucoadhesion and permeation properties, Eur. J. Pharm.
  481 Biopharm. 88 (2014) 1026–1037. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejpb.2014.09.003.
- 482[23]A.H. Hofman, I.A. van Hees, J. Yang, M. Kamperman, Bioinspired Underwater Adhesives by Using483the Supramolecular Toolbox, Adv. Mater. 30 (2018) 1704640.484https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201704640.
- 485 [24] B.K. Ahn, Perspectives on Mussel-Inspired Wet Adhesion, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 139 (2017) 10166– 486 10171. https://doi.org/10.1021/jacs.6b13149.
- 487 [25] J. Yang, V. Saggiomo, A.H. Velders, M.A. Cohen Stuart, M. Kamperman, Reaction Pathways in 488 Catechol/Primary Amine Mixtures: A Window on Crosslinking Chemistry, PLoS One. 11 (2016) 489 e0166490. https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0166490.
- 490[26]G.P. Maier, C.M. Bernt, A. Butler, Catechol oxidation: considerations in the design of wet adhesive<br/>materials, Biomater. Sci. 6 (2018). https://doi.org/10.1039/c7bm00884h.
- P. Zhao, K. Wei, Q. Feng, H. Chen, D.S.H. Wong, X. Chen, C.C. Wu, L. Bian, Mussel-mimetic hydrogels with defined cross-linkers achieved: Via controlled catechol dimerization exhibiting tough adhesion for wet biological tissues, Chem. Commun. 53 (2017) 12000–12003. https://doi.org/10.1039/c7cc07215e.
- 496 [28] E. Byun, J.H. Ryu, H. Lee, Catalyst-mediated yet catalyst-free hydrogels formed by interfacial 497 chemical activation., Chem. Commun. 50 (2014) 2869–72. https://doi.org/10.1039/c3cc49043b.
- 498 [29] Y. Alinejad, A. Adoungotchodo, E. Hui, F. Zehtabi, S. Lerouge, An injectable chitosan/chondroitin sulfate hydrogel with tunable mechanical properties for cell therapy/tissue engineering, Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 113 (2018) 132–141. https://doi.org/10.1016/J.IJBIOMAC.2018.02.069.
- [30] M. Lavertu, Z. Xia, A.N. Serreqi, M. Berrada, A. Rodrigues, D. Wang, M.D. Buschmann, A. Gupta,
  A validated 1H NMR method for the determination of the degree of deacetylation of chitosan, J.
  Pharm. Biomed. Anal. 32 (2003) 1149–1158. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0731-7085(03)00155-9.
- 504[31]K. Kim, J.H. Ryu, Y. Lee, H. Lee, Bio-inspired catechol conjugation converts water-insoluble505chitosan into a highly water-soluble, adhesive chitosan derivative, Biomater. Sci. 1 (2013) 783–790.506https://doi.org/Doi 10.1039/C3bm00004d.
- 507 [32] C.M. Lehr, J.A. Bouwstra, E.H. Schacht, H.E. Junginger, In vitro evaluation of mucoadhesive
  508 properties of chitosan and some other natural polymers, Int. J. Pharm. 78 (1992) 43–48.
  509 https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-5173(92)90353-4.
- 510 [33] M. Krogsgaard, M.R. Hansen, H. Birkedal, Metals and polymers in the mix: fine-tuning the
  511 mechanical properties and color of self-healing mussel-inspired hydrogels, J. Mater. Chem. B. 2
  512 (2014) 8292–8297. https://doi.org/10.1039/C4TB01503G.
- 513 [34] J.M. Lee, J.H. Ryu, E.A. Kim, S. Jo, B.-S. Kim, H. Lee, G.-I. Im, Adhesive barrier/directional controlled release for cartilage repair by endogenous progenitor cell recruitment., Biomaterials. 39 (2015) 173–81. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.11.006.
- 516 [35] J.H. Ryu, Y. Lee, W.H. Kong, T.G. Kim, T.G. Park, H. Lee, Catechol-functionalized
  517 chitosan/pluronic hydrogels for tissue adhesives and hemostatic materials, Biomacromolecules. 12
  518 (2011) 2653–2659. https://doi.org/10.1021/bm200464x.
- 519 [36] Y. Liang, X. Zhao, P.X. Ma, B. Guo, Y. Du, X. Han, pH-responsive injectable hydrogels with 520 mucosal adhesiveness based on chitosan-grafted-dihydrocaffeic acid and oxidized pullulan for 224-234. 521 localized drug delivery, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 536 (2019)

- 522 https://doi.org/10.1016/J.JCIS.2018.10.056.
- J.W. Park, K.-H. Choi, Acid-Base Equilibria and Related Properties of Chitosan, Bull. Korean Chem.
   Soc. 4 (1983) 68–72.
- [38] H.H. Winter, F. Chambon, Analysis of Linear Viscoelasticity of a Crosslinking Polymer at the Gel
  Point, J. Rheol. (N. Y. N. Y). 30 (1986) 367–382. https://doi.org/10.1122/1.549853.
- J. Xu, S. Strandman, J.X.X. Zhu, J. Barralet, M. Cerruti, Genipin-crosslinked catechol-chitosan mucoadhesive hydrogels for buccal drug delivery, Biomaterials. 37 (2015) 395–404.
  https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biomaterials.2014.10.024.
- 530 [40] C.R. Kruse, M. Singh, S. Targosinski, I. Sinha, J.A. Sørensen, E. Eriksson, K. Nuutila, The effect of 531 pH on cell viability, cell migration, cell proliferation, wound closure, and wound reepithelialization: 532 In vitro and in vivo study, Wound Repair Regen. 25 (2017) 260-269.533 https://doi.org/10.1111/wrr.12526.
- 534[41]J. Saiz-Poseu, J. Mancebo-Aracil, F. Nador, F. Busqué, D. Ruiz-Molina, The Chemistry behind535Catechol-Based Adhesion, Angew. Chemie Int. Ed. 58 (2019) 696–714.536https://doi.org/10.1002/anie.201801063.
- A.R. Narkar, E. Cannon, H. Yildirim-Alicea, K. Ahn, Catechol-Functionalized Chitosan: Optimized
  Preparation Method and Its Interaction with Mucin, Langmuir. 35 (2019) 16013–16023.
  https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.langmuir.9b02030.
- 540 [43] P.S. Yavvari, A. Srivastava, Robust, self-healing hydrogels synthesised from catechol rich polymers,
  541 J. Mater. Chem. B. 3 (2015) 899–910. https://doi.org/10.1039/c4tb01307g.
- 542 [44] A. Ghadban, A.S. Ahmed, Y. Ping, R. Ramos, N. Arfin, B. Cantaert, R. V. Ramanujan, A. Miserez,
  543 Bioinspired pH and magnetic responsive catechol-functionalized chitosan hydrogels with tunable
  644 elastic properties, Chem. Commun. 52 (2016) 697–700. https://doi.org/10.1039/C5CC08617E.
- 545 [45] S. Azevedo, A.M.S. Costa, A. Andersen, I.S. Choi, H. Birkedal, J.F. Mano, Bioinspired Ultratough
  546 Hydrogel with Fast Recovery, Self-Healing, Injectability and Cytocompatibility, Adv. Mater. 29
  547 (2017) 1700759. https://doi.org/10.1002/adma.201700759.
- 548[46]J.H. Ryu, S. Hong, H. Lee, Bio-inspired adhesive catechol-conjugated chitosan for biomedical549applications: A mini review, Acta Biomater. 27 (2015) 101–115.550https://doi.org/10.1016/j.actbio.2015.08.043.
- J. Yu, W. Wei, E. Danner, R.K. Ashley, J.N. Israelachvili, J.H. Waite, Mussel protein adhesion depends on interprotein thiol-mediated redox modulation, Nat. Chem. Biol. 7 (2011) 588–590. https://doi.org/10.1038/nchembio.630.
- [48] Y.J. Xu, K. Wei, P. Zhao, Q. Feng, C.K.K. Choi, L. Bian, Preserving the adhesion of catecholconjugated hydrogels by thiourea-quinone coupling, Biomater. Sci. 4 (2016) 1726–1730. https://doi.org/10.1039/c6bm00434b.

# Appendix A: Supplementary data

#### 560 Table A. 1: NaOH at equivalence for cat3-CH, cat23-CH and CH in either H<sub>2</sub>O or HCl

	Solubilisa	tion	H <sub>2</sub> O		HC1			
	Polymer		Cat3-CH	Cat23-CH	Cat3-CH	Cat23-CH	СН	
	First infle	ction point	N/A	N/A	4.87	4.82	8.15	
	Second in	flection point	0.32	0.29	5.23	5.11	8.57	
	Delta n	_	N/A	N/A	0.36	0.29	0.42	
561								
562	Table A. 2: Physical properties of caffeic acid and glucosamine							
				Caffeic A	cid Gluco	samine		
		Density (g/cm3)		1.48	1.56			
		Molecular we	ight (g/mol)	180.2	179.2			
		Molar density	(mol/cm3)	121.9	114.6			

563

564

$$\chi = \frac{C_{cat} * (dd_A * M_{Glu} + (1 - dd_A * M_{AcGlu})}{C_{w,cat-CH} - M_{HCA} * C_{cat}}$$
(A.1)

\_ ddA: deacetylation degree of CH

M<sub>Glu</sub>: molar mass of the CH glucosamine monomer (161 g/mol) \_

 $M_{AcGlu:}$  molar mass of CH acetyl-glucosamine monomer (203 g/mol)  $M_{HCA:}$  molar mass of HCA,  $C_w$  is the mass concentration of cat-CH -

-

[catechol]: molar concentration of catechol found through the calibration curve. -

565





Figure A. 1: Grafting degree of chitosan with catechol measured by UV-Visible Spectrometry. Two
 conditions are tested, i.e. the ratio between reagents and the mixing speed. Statistical analysis indicate a
 strong synergy between an excess of EDC and a fast mixing during the reaction.



Page 18 of 22



573

Figure A. 3: Titration of cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O and cat23-CH H<sub>2</sub>O with NaOH shows only one inflection point
 related to amino groups of chitosan. Because catechol-chitosan is not in its full ionization state, it is not
 possible to calculate the pKa.



579 Figure A. 4: Stress-strain curves for cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70 and cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC80. While SHC70 could 580 be stressed up to 50% strain, SHC80 hydrogels broke before 40% strain in a brittle fracture.



Figure A. 5: Comparison of secant modulus of cat3-CH H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70, cat3-CH HCl/SHC100, cat6-CH
 H<sub>2</sub>O/SHC70 and cat6-CH HCl/SHC100 (mean and SD of n=9) at different strains. Catechol grafting degree
 has a significant impact on mechanical properties.



Figure A. 6: A) Time sweep: 5 minutes at 37°C for cat3-CH HCl/SHC100 B) Time sweeps: 1 min at 22°C,
 followed by an increase at 37°C for cat3-CH HCl/SHC100 or at 50°C for cat3-CH HCl/SHC80-90-100.

# Vitae

592593 Capuci

591



594

Capucine Guyot is a PhD student at Ecole de Technologie Supérieure in Dr. Lerouge's lab since
2016, under the co-supervision of Dr. Cerruti. She graduated from Ecole Centrale de Lyon, France
with a bachelor in Materials Engineering in 2015 and a master's in biomedical engineering in 2016.

598 She has already presented her work in several conferences about biomaterials including the 2018

599 Society for Biomaterials meeting and the 2019 Canadian Biomaterials Society meeting.

#### 600 Marta Cerruti



601

Marta Cerruti is Associate Professor in Materials Engineering at McGill University. Since 2011, she is Canada Research Chair (Tier 2) in Bio-synthetic interfaces. Her research focuses on controlling interactions between material surfaces and biomolecules. She is a member of the College of New Scholars, Artists, and Scientists of the Royal Society of Canada and was one of the Young Scientists at the World Economic Forum in 2017 and 2018. She published 90 papers on journals including JACS, Advanced Materials, Nanoscale, etc, and was interviewed by CBC radio twice for her work on arterial and heart valve calcification.

- 609
- 610
- 611
- 612
- 613
- 614

#### 615 Sophie Lerouge



616

617 Sophie Lerouge is full professor at Ecole de technologie supérieure (ÉTS) and researcher at the

618 CHUM research center (CRCHUM) in Montréal. International Fellow in Biomaterials science and

engineering since 2016, she is also board member of the Canadian Biomaterials Society of which

620 she was President in 2018–2019. Through her multidisciplinary approach at the frontier between

621 material science and cell biology, she has been developing coatings, implants and injectable

hydrogels for tissue regeneration and cell therapy. Her work has led to more than 80 peer reviewpapers, as well as several patents, innovations and research awards.